1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of Equality Analysis

The council has an important role in creating a fair society through the services we provide, the people we employ and the money we spend. Equality is integral to everything the council does. We are committed to making Croydon a stronger, fairer borough where no community or individual is held back.

Undertaking an Equality Analysis helps to determine whether a proposed change will have a positive, negative, or no impact on groups that share a protected characteristic. Conclusions drawn from Equality Analyses helps us to better understand the needs of all our communities, enable us to target services and budgets more effectively and also helps us to comply with the Equality Act 2010.

An equality analysis must be completed as early as possible during the planning stages of any proposed change to ensure information gained from the process is incorporated in any decisions made.

In practice, the term 'proposed change' broadly covers the following:-

- Policies, strategies and plans;
- Projects and programmes;
- Commissioning (including re-commissioning and de-commissioning);
- Service review;
- Budget allocation/analysis;
- Staff restructures (including outsourcing);
- Business transformation programmes;
- Organisational change programmes;
- Processes (for example thresholds, eligibility, entitlements, and access criteria.

2. Proposed change

Directorate	Place
Title of proposed change	Property Disposals as part of the Interim Asset Disposal Strategy
Name of Officer carrying out Equality Analysis	Steve Wingrave

2.1 Purpose of proposed change (see 1.1 above for examples of proposed changes)

The Council is proposing to vary the lease terms for the two existing leases at Concord and Sycamore House that are currently used to provide Emergency Temporary Accommodation (ETA). The lease changes do not impact on the use or tenure of the individual occupiers but helps to secure additional capital for the Council and possibly secure the premises for a longer term

3. Impact of the proposed change

Important Note: It is necessary to determine how each of the protected groups could be impacted by the proposed change. If there is insufficient information or evidence to reach a decision you will need to gather appropriate quantitative and qualitative information from a range of sources e.g. Croydon Observatory a useful source of information such as Borough Strategies and Plans, Borough and Ward Profiles, Joint Strategic Health Needs Assessments http://www.croydonobservatory.org/ Other sources include performance monitoring reports, complaints, survey data, audit reports, inspection reports, national research and feedback gained through engagement with service users, voluntary and community organisations and contractors.

3.1 Additional information needed to determine impact of proposed change

Table 1 – Additional information needed to determine impact of proposed change

If you need to undertake further research and data gathering to help determine the likely impact of the proposed change, outline the information needed in this table.

Additional information needed	Information source	Date for completion
The proposed Disposals for vacant premises will not impact the delivery of services by the Council as they have all been declared surplus to requirements and many have been vacant for some time. This report covers the disposal of a Golf Course and hotel let under a long lease, a former car park area, HRA garages, Heathfield House former training centre and a vacant scout hut	Asset Management/ELT	June 2021

For guidance and support with consultation and engagement visit <u>https://intranet.croydon.gov.uk/working-croydon/communications/consultation-and-engagement/starting-engagement-or-consultation</u>

3.2 Deciding whether the potential impact is positive or negative

Table 2 – Positive/Negative impact

For each protected characteristic group show whether the impact of the proposed change on service users and/or staff is positive or negative by briefly outlining the nature of the impact in the appropriate column. If it is decided that analysis is not relevant to some groups, this should be recorded and explained. In all circumstances you should list the source of the evidence used to make this judgement where possible.

Protected characteristic group(s)	Positive impact	Negative impact	Source of evidence
Age	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as either the buildings are vacant and have been declared surplus to requirements or the current use where tenanted will not change	None	Asset Management Team
Disability	- The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as either the buildings are vacant and have been declared surplus to requirements or the current use where tenanted will not change. In the case of letting of Heathfield House this will be to Cressey College for the education of children with SEN needs and will therefore enhance the local facilities for children with disabilities	None	As above
Gender	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as either the buildings are vacant and have been declared surplus to requirements or the current use where tenanted will not change	None	As above.

Gender Reassignment	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as either the buildings are vacant and have been declared surplus to requirements or the current use where tenanted will not change	None	As above.
Marriage or Civil Partnership	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as either the buildings are vacant and have been declared surplus to requirements or the current use where tenanted will not change	None	As above.
Religion or belief	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as either the buildings are vacant and have been declared surplus to requirements or the current use where tenanted will not change. The proposed purchaser for the former scout hut is a faith group and therefore this will improve the provision of facilities for some faith groups in the area	None	As above.
Race	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as either the buildings are vacant and have been declared surplus to requirements or the current use where tenanted will not change	None	As above.
Sexual Orientation	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as either the buildings are vacant and have been declared surplus to requirements or the current use where tenanted will not change	None	As above.
Pregnancy or Maternity	The proposed changes will not impact any protected characteristic group as either the buildings are vacant and have been declared surplus to requirements or the current use where tenanted will not change. In the case of the car park at the former Malcolm Road CALAT this is to be for the development of a medical centre which will enhance local	None	As above.

provision and care for people with medical needs		
Important note: You must act to eliminate any potential negative impact which could mean abandoning your proposed change as you may not be able to take		010. In some situations this
When you act to reduce any negative impact or maximise any positive impact, y and/or staff belonging to groups that share protected characteristics.	you must ensure that this does not create a neg	gative impact on service users

3.3 Impact scores

Example

If we are going to reduce parking provision in a particular location, officers will need to assess the equality impact as follows;

- 1. Determine the Likelihood of impact. You can do this by using the key in table 5 as a guide, for the purpose of this example, the likelihood of impact score is 2 (likely to impact)
- 2. Determine the Severity of impact. You can do this by using the key in table 5 as a guide, for the purpose of this example, the Severity of impact score is also 2 (likely to impact)
- 3. Calculate the equality impact score using table 4 below and the formula Likelihood x Severity and record it in table 5, for the purpose of this example Likelihood (2) x Severity (2) = 4

Table 4 – Equality Impact Score



2	2	4	6	
1	1	2	3	
	1	2	3	
Likelihood of Impact				

		Кеу	
4	6	Risk Index	Risk Magnitude
		6 – 9	High
2	3	3 – 5	Medium
		1 – 3	Low
2	3		



Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4
PROTECTED GROUP	LIKELIHOOD OF IMPACT SCORE	SEVERITY OF IMPACT SCORE	EQUALITY IMPACT SCORE
	Use the key below to score the likelihood of the proposed change impacting each of the protected groups, by inserting either 1, 2, or 3 against each protected group. 1 = Unlikely to impact 2 = Likely to impact 3 = Certain to impact	Use the key below to score the severity of impact of the proposed change on each of the protected groups, by inserting either 1, 2, or 3 against each protected group. 1 = Unlikely to impact 2 = Likely to impact 3 = Certain to impact	Calculate the equality impact score for each protected group by multiplying scores in column 2 by scores in column 3. Enter the results below against each protected group. Equality impact score = likelihood of impact score x severity of impact score.
Age	1	1	1
Disability	2	3	6 (in a positive way)
Gender	1	1	1
Gender reassignment	1	1	1
Marriage / Civil Partnership	1	1	1
Race	1	1	1
Religion or belief	2	2	4 (in a positive way)
Sexual Orientation	1	1	1
Pregnancy or Maternity	2	2	2(in a positive way)



4. Statutory duties

4.1 **Public Sector Duties**

Tick the relevant box(es) to indicate whether the proposed change will adversely impact the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties in the Equality Act 2010 set out below.

Advancing equality of opportunity between people who belong to protected groups

Eliminating unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation

Fostering good relations between people who belong to protected characteristic groups

Important note: If the proposed change adversely impacts the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties set out above, mitigating actions must be outlined in the Action Plan in section 5 below.

5. Action Plan to mitigate negative impacts of proposed change

Table 5 – Action Plan to mitigate negative impacts

Complete this table to show	Complete this table to show any negative impacts identified for service users and/or staff from protected groups, and planned actions mitigate them.				
Protected characteristic	Negative impact	Mitigating action(s)	Action owner	Date for completion	
Disability	No Negative Impact				
Race	No Negative Impact				
Sex (gender)	No Negative Impact				
Gender reassignment	No Negative Impact				
Sexual orientation	No Negative Impact				
Age	No Negative Impact				
Religion or belief	No Negative Impact				
Pregnancy or maternity	No Negative Impact				



Marı	riage/civil partnership	No Negative Impact		
6.	Decision on the	e proposed change		

Based on the i	nformation outlined in this Equality Analysis enter X in column 3	(Conclusion) alongside the relevant statement to show your	conclusion.	
Decision	Definition			
No major change	Our analysis demonstrates that the policy is robust. The evidence shows no potential for discrimination and we have taken all opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations, subject to continuing monitoring and review. If you reach this conclusion, state your reasons and briefly outline the evidence used to support your decision. The ptroposed changes to the lease involve the insurance obligations, the right to redevelop and the right to potentially extend the term of the lease. None of these will have an impact on the current use of the premises			
Adjust the proposed change	We will take steps to lessen the impact of the proposed change should it adversely impact the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties set out under section 4 above, remove barriers or better promote equality. We are going to take action to ensure these opportunities are realised. If you reach this conclusion, you must outline the actions you will take in Action Plan in section 5 of the Equality Analysis form			
Continue the proposed change	We will adopt or continue with the change, despite potential for adverse impact or opportunities to lessen the impact of discrimination, harassment or victimisation and better advance equality and foster good relations between groups through the change. However, we are not planning to implement them as we are satisfied that our project will not lead to unlawful discrimination and there are justifiable reasons to continue as planned. If you reach this conclusion, you should clearly set out the justifications for doing this and it must be in line with the duty to have due regard and how you reached this decision.			
Stop or amend the proposed change	Our change would have adverse effects on one or more protected groups that are not justified and cannot be mitigated. Our proposed change must be stopped or amended.			
	on be considered at a scheduled meeting? e.g. Contracts and g Board (CCB) / Cabinet Yes.	Meeting title: Cabinet Date: 13 July 2021		



7. Sign-Off

Officers that must approve this decision				
Equality lead	Name: Position:	Yvonne Okiyo Equalities Manager	Date	e: ne
Director	Name: Position: Direct	or of Housing and Social Investment	Date:	